Georgia

Overview



Transparency:

 $87_{\scriptscriptstyle /100}$

(Open Budget Index score)



Public Participation:

44 /100



Budget Oversight:

74 /100

About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – have important consequences for all people in society. When governments provide information and meaningful channels for the public to engage in these decisions, we can better ensure public money is spent on public interests.

The Open Budget Survey (OBS) is the world's only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions, such as legislatures and national audit offices, in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 8th edition of the OBS covers 120 countries.

Visit <u>www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey</u> for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2021 Global and Regional Reports, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.




Transparency

This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the **online** availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.

Georgia has a transparency score of 87 (out of 100).


Transparency in Georgia compared to others








How has the transparency score for Georgia changed over time?



Public availability of budget documents in Georgia



Document	2010	2012	2015	2017	2019	2021
Pre-Budget Statement		•	•	•		
Executive's Budget Proposal	•	•	•	•	•	•
Enacted Budget	•	•	•	•	•	•
Citizens Budget	0	0	•	•		•
In-Year Reports	•		•	•	•	•
Mid-Year Review	0	0	0	•	•	•
Year-End Report	•		•	•	•	•
Audit Report	•	•	•	•	•	



How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Georgia makes available to the public?

KEY
● 61-100 / 100
41-60 / 100
1 -40 / 100

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Document content score
Pre- Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2021	100
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2021	84
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2021	100
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2021	58
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2020	100
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2020	81
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2019	85
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2019	95

Georgia's transparency score of **87** in the OBS 2021 is moderately higher than its score in 2019.

What changed in OBS 2021?

Georgia has increased the availability of budget information by:



• Increasing the information provided in the Mid-Year Review.

Recommendations

Georgia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Seek public input on the contents of the Citizens Budget, and disseminate the Citizens Budget through different types of media to reach a variety of audiences.
- Include additional information on fiscal risk in the Executive's Budget
 Proposal, for example on transfers to public corporations and quasi-fiscal
 activities.
- Start publishing data in machine readable format again.



Public Participation

The OBS assesses the formal opportunities offered to the public for meaningful participation in the different stages of the budget process. It examines the practices of the central government's executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution (SAI) using 18 equally weighted indicators, aligned with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency's <u>Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policies</u>, and scores each country on a scale from 0 to 100.

Georgia has a public participation score of 44 (out of 100).


Public participation in Georgia compared to others

Global Average					14
Georgia					44
Russia					28
Kyrgyz Republic					26
Azerbaijan					9
Kazakhstan					9
Tajikistan					0
Turkey					0
0	nsufficient	6	51	Sufficient	100

For more information, see <u>here</u> for innovative public participation practices around the world.



Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



Recommendations

Georgia's Ministry of Finance has established pre-budget submissions during budget formulation but, to further strengthen public participation in the budget process, should also prioritize the following actions:


- Make the e-platform for pre-budget submissions more popular and establish a participation mechanism for civil society organizations in the formulation and implementation process of the budget.
- Pilot mechanisms to monitor budget implementation.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly or through civil society organizations representing them.



Budget Oversight

The OBS examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in Georgia, together, provide adequate oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **74** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:



Recommendations

Georgia's Parliament provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and adequate oversight during the implementation stage. To further improve oversight, the following actions should be prioritized:

- A committee of Parliament should examine in-year budget implementation and publish reports with their findings online.
- A committee of Parliament should examine the Audit Report and publish a report with their findings online.

To strengthen independence and improve audit oversight by the Georgia State Audit Office, the following actions are recommended:



• Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.

The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions

Georgia's independent fiscal institution (IFI) is the Parliamentary Budget Office. Its independence is set in law, and it reports to the legislature. It publishes its own macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts, and its own cost estimates of all new policy proposals.

The indicators on IFIs are not scored in the Open Budget Survey.



Methodology

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2020 were assessed in the OBS 2021.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:

Beso Namchavadze
Transparency International Georgia
61 Davit Aghmashenebeli Ave Tbilisi, Georgia
beso.namchavadze@transparency.ge

 To further strengthen the research, each country's draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in Georgia by a representative of the Ministry of Finance.

